

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/667,004	09/19/2003	Selena Chan	21058/1206448-US1	6646
7278 DARDY & DA	7590 11/01/2007		EXAMINER	
DARBY & DARBY P.C. P.O. BOX 770			· LU, FRANK WEI MIN	
Church Street Station New York, NY 10008-0770			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
new rork, ivi	10000-0770		1634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			11/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Auntication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/667,004	CHAN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Frank W. Lu	1634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE IN THE MAILING DATE IN THE MAILING DATE IN THE MAILING DATE IN THE METERS OF THE	ATE OF THIS COMN 36(a). In no event, however, iill apply and will expire SIX (cause the application to bec	AUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/13/20007.						
, —	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8,10-19,21-24 and 29-32 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-19,21-24 and 29-32</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	0.00.					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requireme	nt.				
	on Papers	_					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2003 is/s		or b) Cobjected to by the Examiner				
10)[10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ⊠ Inte Pap	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date. <u>7/2007</u> .				
3) X Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 9/07 and 10/07.	5) 🔲 Not	ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				

Application/Control Number: 10/667,004 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's response to the office action filed on August 13, 2007 has been entered. The claims pending in this application are claims 1-8, 10-19, 21-24, and 29-32. Rejection and/or objection not reiterated from the previous office action are hereby withdrawn in view of amendment filed on August 13, 2007. Claims 1-8, 10-19, 21-24, and 29-32 will be examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. New Matter

Claims 1-8, 10-19, 21-24, and 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

First, the recitation "at least two of the coded probes comprise two or more identifiably different nano-barcodes that create different signatures" is added to the newly amended independent claims 1 and 19. However, the specification fails to define or provide any disclosure to support such claim recitation. Furthermore, in applicant's remarks filed on August 13, 2007, applicant does not indicate which part in the specification supports such claim

Application/Control Number: 10/667,004

Art Unit: 1634

recitation. Second, although the specification describes aligning the coded probes on a surface by molecular combing (see original filed claim 9), the specification do not describe aligning the coded probes that bind to one or more target molecule on a surface by microfluidic molecular combing as recited in claims 1 and 19 because the specification only describes aligning the coded probes by molecular combing in a microfluidic channel (see page 36, [0130]). Furthermore, in applicant's remarks filed on August 13, 2007, applicant does not indicate which part in the specification supports such claim limitations. Third, although the specification describes that "coded probes 340 may be aligned on a surface 300 by free flow electrophoresis" (see page 26, [0096]), the specification do not describe that the coded probes are further aligned on the substrate surface by any kind of electrophoresis as recited in claims 29 and 30, and does not describe aligning the coded probes that bind to the one or more target molecules on a surface by any kind of electrophoresis recited in claims 31 and 32 because the word "electrophoresis" in claims 29-32 is much broader than free flow electrophoresis described in the specification, which is a specific type of electrophoresis and is different from a regular electrophoresis (see attachment for free flow electrophoresis). Furthermore, in applicant's remarks filed on August 13, 2007, applicant does not indicate which part in the specification supports such claim limitations.

MPEP 2163.06 notes "If New Matter is added to the Claims, the examiner should reject the Claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph - written description requirement. *In re Rasmussen*, 650 F.2d 1212, 211 USPQ 323 (CCPA 1981)." MPEP 2163.02 teaches that "Whenever the issue arises, the fundamental factual inquiry is whether a claim defines an invention that is clearly conveyed to those skilled in the art at the time the application was filed...If a claim is amended to include subject matter, limitations, or terminology not present in the application as filed, involving a departure from, addition to, or deletion from the disclosure of the application as filed, the examiner should conclude that the claimed subject matter is not described in that application." MPEP 2163.06 further notes "When an amendment is filed in reply to an objection or rejection based on 35 U.S.C. 112,

Application/Control Number: 10/667,004

Art Unit: 1634

FIRST PARAGRAPH, A STUDY OF THE ENTIRE APPLICATION IS OFTEN NECESSARY TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT "NEW MATTER" IS INVOLVED. *APPLICANT SHOULD THEREFORE SPECIFICALLY POINT OUT THE SUPPORT FOR ANY AMENDMENTS MADE TO THE DISCLOSURE*" (emphasis added).

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-8, 10-19, 21-24, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claim 1 or 19 is rejected as vague and indefinite because it is unclear what means "microfluidic molecular combing". Although the specification describes that "[N]ano-barcodes, coded probes and/or target molecules may be attached to a surface and aligned using physical forces inherent in an air-water meniscus or other types of interfaces. This technique is generally known as molecular combing" (see page 7, last paragraph), the specification does not define "microfluidic molecular combing" and the examiner cannot find a definition for "microfluidic molecular combing" during prior art search. Does "microfluidic molecular combing" mean molecular combing in microfluidic channel? Please clarify.
- 7. Claim 11 is rejected as vague and indefinite because force modulation imaging and magnetoresistive sensitivity mapping are not an equipment. Please clarify.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/667,004

Art Unit: 1634

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 9. No claim is allowed.
- 10. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CAR § 1.6(d)). The CM Fax Center number is (571)273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Lu, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571)272-0746. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9 A.M. to 5 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla, can be reached on (571)272-0735.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

October 23, 2007

FRANK LU

bul u